



Delayed diagnosis of tuberculosis in a patient with coccidioidomycosis

Retraso en el diagnóstico de tuberculosis en un paciente con coccidioidomicosis

Eva Alejandra Rojas-Rojas,* Wendy Rosario Cinencio-Chávez,* Rafael Laniado-Laborín*†

*Autonomous University of Baja California. Tijuana General Hospital, Mexico; †Sistema Nacional de Investigadores, CONAHCYT.

ABSTRACT. We present the case of a 24-year-old man from Sonora, residing in Tijuana, Mexico, who referred symptoms of cough and hemoptysis for a year. A chest CT scan showed fibrocavitary lesions, initially suspected to be tuberculosis. Rapid molecular tests did not detect *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, while coccidioidomycosis serology was positive and cultures identified *Coccidioides spp.*; treatment with itraconazole was initiated, but subsequently, the mycobacterial culture was reported as positive for *M. tuberculosis*, confirming coinfection and antituberculosis treatment was added. The Xpert MTB/RIF test is recommended by the World Health Organization as an initial diagnostic method for tuberculosis due to its high sensitivity and specificity. However, its sensitivity can be low in cases of very low bacillary load, justifying the need for complementary mycobacterial cultures for a thorough diagnosis. In this case, the culture allowed for the detection of tuberculosis despite negative sputum smears and rapid molecular tests, highlighting the importance of phenotypic methods in the diagnosis of tuberculosis. The coinfection of tuberculosis and coccidioidomycosis is a significant diagnostic challenge. The combination of molecular tests and cultures remains essential for an accurate diagnosis and effective treatment, especially in endemic areas for both diseases.

Keywords: tuberculosis, coccidioidomycosis, coinfection, Xpert MTB/RIF, mycobacterial culture.

INTRODUCTION

Coccidioidomycosis is an endemic mycosis of the southwestern United States, northern Mexico, and the rest of Latin America.¹ Tuberculosis and coccidioidomycosis share epidemiological, clinical, radiographic, and even histopathological characteristics, making diagnosis difficult

RESUMEN. Presentamos el caso de un hombre sonorense de 24 años, residente en Tijuana, México, que presentó cuadro de tos y hemoptisis de un año de evolución. Una tomografía torácica mostró lesiones fibrocavitarias, inicialmente sugestivas de tuberculosis. Las pruebas moleculares rápidas no detectaron *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, mientras que la serología para coccidioidomicosis fue positiva y los cultivos identificaron *Coccidioides spp.*; se inició tratamiento con itraconazol, pero posteriormente el cultivo micobacteriano se reportó positivo para *M. tuberculosis*, confirmando la coinfección, por lo que se agregó tratamiento antituberculosis. El Xpert MTB/RIF está recomendado por la Organización Mundial de la Salud como método de diagnóstico inicial de tuberculosis debido a su alta sensibilidad y especificidad. Sin embargo, su sensibilidad puede ser baja en casos de baja carga bacilar, justificando la necesidad de cultivos micobacterianos complementarios para un diagnóstico exhaustivo. En este caso, el cultivo permitió la detección de tuberculosis a pesar de baciloscopias y prueba molecular rápida negativas, destacando la importancia de los métodos fenotípicos en el diagnóstico de tuberculosis. La coinfección de tuberculosis y coccidioidomicosis representa un importante desafío diagnóstico. La combinación de pruebas moleculares y cultivos es esencial para un diagnóstico preciso y un tratamiento eficaz, especialmente en zonas endémicas de ambos padecimientos.

Palabras clave: tuberculosis, coccidioidomicosis, coinfección, Xpert MTB/RIF, cultivo de micobacterias.

when they present simultaneously.² Additionally, they share some common risk factors, including areas of endemicity and various conditions that cause immunosuppression, such as diabetes and HIV, among others.

Clinical presentation can be similar, with prolonged constitutional symptoms, various respiratory syndromes, and chronic meningitis, years or decades after the initial

Correspondence:

Eva Alejandra Rojas-Rojas, MD

Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, Autonomous University of Baja California, Mexico.

E-mail: rojas.eva@uabc.edu.mx

Received: VI-03-2024; accepted: VII-05-2024.

How to cite: Rojas-Rojas EA, Cinencio-Chávez WR, Laniado-Laborín R. Delayed diagnosis of tuberculosis in a patient with coccidioidomycosis. *Neumol Cir Torax.* 2023; 82 (4):251-253. <https://dx.doi.org/10.35366/117942>

exposure. Radiographic findings in the chest of patients with chronic pulmonary coccidioidomycosis are virtually identical to those of pulmonary tuberculosis, with the presence of cavitations and fibrous tracts.¹

We present a case of pulmonary coinfection in which the rapid molecular test was reported negative for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex, while coccidioidomycosis was diagnosed through smear, culture, and positive serology. Since all samples from patients suspected of tuberculosis should routinely be cultured, it was also possible to detect, although late, the presence of pulmonary tuberculosis.

PRESENTATION OF THE CASE

A 24-year-old male, originally from Sonora and residing in the city of Tijuana sought medical attention for his chronic respiratory symptoms. His clinical history was negative for substance abuse, and he did not report any significant illnesses in the past. Symptoms began a year earlier with cough and hemoptysis. He received private medical care, without a definitive diagnosis, and no information is available on the prescribed treatment. A chest CT showed fibrocavitary lesions in both upper pulmonary lobes, with an intracavitary mass suggestive of mycetoma (Figure 1). Given the suspicion of tuberculosis, a series of sputum smears were requested and later reported as negative, as well as an Xpert MTB/RIF (*Mycobacterium tuberculosis* complex not detected); as routinely done, a culture for mycobacteria was also processed. Sputum smears reported abundant spherules of *Coccidioides* spp.; later, a positive culture for *Coccidioides* spp. was reported, in addition to a positive coccidioidomycosis serology with an IgG titer of 1:64. No evidence of extrapulmonary involvement



Figure 1: Axial tomographic section showing fibrocavitary lesions in both upper lung lobes.

was detected during the clinical evaluation. Treatment with itraconazole 400 mg daily was started; after two months of treatment, the patient was asymptomatic and had gained 2.7 kg.

Two months later, the culture was reported positive for mycobacteria with a positive rapid chromatographic test for the MTB complex. After dilution, the culture material was examined with the Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra test, confirming the presence of the MTB complex with medium load and without detection of mutations in the *rpoB* gene associated with rifampicin resistance (susceptible to rifampicin). Treatment with the primary regimen (rifampicin, isoniazid, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide) was initiated and the antifungal regimen was continued.

The patient has currently been discharged as cured from antituberculosis treatment and will continue with antifungal treatment for at least one year according to the initial serology of 1:64, which is considered a marker of disseminated disease.

DISCUSSION

The Xpert MTB/RIF polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test is an automated real-time molecular test for the diagnosis of tuberculosis.³ The World Health Organization (WHO) recommends that this rapid molecular test be used as an initial diagnostic test, replacing sputum smear microscopy due to its higher sensitivity and specificity and that it also offers the advantage of simultaneously providing rifampicin susceptibility.⁴ However, since there are no genotypic commercial tests for all the drugs used in tuberculosis, phenotypic testing remains necessary at this time as a complement to molecular tests. Additionally, during treatment follow-up, molecular tests are not useful because they require the extraction of mycobacterial DNA with the consequent death of the bacilli; cultures remain the only method available to determine mycobacterial viability.⁵

While the diagnostic performance of the Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra is far superior to microscopy, false negatives do occur since the sensitivity of the assay varies between 83 and 96%, depending on the bacillary load, and sensitivity under 80% has been reported in patients with negative smears.⁵ This issue has been partially addressed with the new Ultra cartridges, which have greater sensitivity than the older G4 cartridges. The Xpert MTB/RIF Ultra incorporates two amplification targets for the identification of *M. tuberculosis* (IS6110 and IS1081) and requires only about 15 colony-forming units (CFUs) for detection versus 116 CFUs for the G4 cartridge. This is partly due to a larger sample volume processed by the Ultra method, which has a reaction chamber with a capacity of 50 vs 25 μ L for the G4 cartridge.^{5,6}

Our patient had active tuberculosis and coccidioidomycosis simultaneously at the time of his first consultation at the Tuberculosis Clinic, but his initial sputum smears and Xpert MTB/RIF test were negative. As all samples processed for microscopy and molecular testing are routinely cultured, after eight weeks of observation, the sputum culture was reported as positive for *M. tuberculosis*.

CONCLUSIONS

This case demonstrates the need to include mycobacterial cultures as a backup for molecular tests, as their sensitivity is affected when the bacillary load is very low, and, as mentioned, there are no commercial molecular drug susceptibility tests for all antimycobacterial drugs.

Conflict of interests: the authors do not have any conflict of interests to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Cadena J, Hartzler A, Hsue G, Longfield RN. Coccidioidomycosis and tuberculosis coinfection at a tuberculosis hospital: clinical features and literature review: clinical features and literature review. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2009;88(1):66-76. Available in: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.0b013e318194757a>
2. Castañeda-Godoy R, Laniado-Laborin R. Coexistencia de tuberculosis y coccidioidomicosis. Presentación de dos casos clínicos. *Rev Inst Nal Enf Resp Mex*. 2002;15(2):98-101.
3. Xpert MTB/RIF. Copyright © 2017-2021 Cepheid. Operational manual.
4. World Health Organization. WHO consolidated guidelines on tuberculosis. Module 3: diagnosis—rapid diagnostics for tuberculosis detection, third edition [Internet]. 2024. Available in: <https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/9789240089488>
5. Oopota O, Mazza-Stalder J, Greub G, Jaton K. The rapid molecular test Xpert MTB/RIF ultra: towards improved tuberculosis diagnosis and rifampicin resistance detection. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2019;25(11):1370-1376. Available in: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cmi.2019.03.021>
6. World Health Organization. Xpert MTB/RIF implementation manual: technical and operational 'how-to'; practical considerations. 2014.